

## VIRGINIA GIS REFERENCE BOOK

---

General Application Name: Fire and Rescue

Product / Service / Function Name: Incident Mapping

P/S/F Description:

Incident mapping refers to the plotting the locations of emergency events on a map for qualitative and quantitative study. This mapping facilitates the preparedness of emergency response personnel, reduces disorder and confusion once events take place, allows for real-time and post-emergency analysis and aids in the preparation of plans to address similar incidents in the future. Geographic Information Systems (GIS) can play a mission critical role in how emergency management groups prepare and respond to fire and rescue situations.

Product / Service / Function

---

### 1. Spatial Data:

#### *Minimum Requirements*

General Description	Data Layer
Emergency Management Data	Incident Locations
Natural Features	Parks
	Open Water (ponds, streams, lake)
Transportation Data	Street Centerlines
Infrastructure Data	Water Mains
	Hydrants
Socio-Political Data	Municipal Boundary
	Police Zone Boundaries
	Fire Stations
	Schools
	Hospitals
	Misc. Emergency Management Resource Locations

#### *Optional Enhancements*

General Description	Data Layer
Law Enforcement Data	E911 Call Locations
Land Base / Planimetric Data	Tax Parcels
	Building Footprints
	Zoning Districts
	Land Use
Natural Features	100-Year Floodplain
Transportation	Street Double lines (Right of Way)
	Public Transit Routes

	Railroads
Socio-Political Data	Zip Code Boundaries
	Census Tracts
	Census Block Groups
	Neighborhoods & Subdivisions
Infrastructure Data	Power lines
	Telecommunication conduit
	Gas lines
	Sewer Mains and Laterals
Other Data	Digital Orthophotography

## 2. Attribute Data:

### *Minimum Requirements*

General Description	Field Name
Incident Data	Unique Incident Identification Number
	Date Call Received
	Time Call Received
	Address or Block Origin
	Call Type and Category
	Patrol Zone/Jurisdiction
	Estimated Number Involved

### *Optional Enhancements*

General Description	Field Name
Incident Data	Original Responder
	Dispatch Date
	Dispatch Time
	Day Code
	Hour
	Jurisdictional Nature Code
	Business
	Additional Victims

## 3. Data Acquisition Options

There are many sources for the spatial data that an incident analysis and mapping system requires. As previously mentioned, digital incident data can be obtained from the local law enforcement agency's CAD or RMS (record management system). A CAD or RMS can be as simple as a file cabinet full of the paper police response reports collected by officer at the scene of an incident. A CAD/RMS is, however, most typically a digital database (e.g. MS Access, SQL Server, Oracle, mainframe flat-file) that is used to enter these paper reports into a computer for storage. The RMS can then serve as more than a reservoir of incident reports, it can be utilized to generate summary reports, used for advanced statistical analysis, or used as the 'base' from which 'data' is extracted for mapping. The incident data can either be extracted from the RMS on a regularly

scheduled basis and placed into a data warehouse, or the incident analysis and mapping system can link directly to this database. The mapping system is then used to geocode, or spatially locate, each event using a street centerline file or a parcels data layer. A street centerline data layer represents each street in a community by a single line that has attached to it its address range. Tax parcels represent a property by a polygon that has information attached to it pertaining to ownership, address, and other assessment data.

In either case, the address of an incident record is matched to a parcel or location along the street centerline and a point feature is created to represent that event. Tax parcels are typically maintained at the county level. Street centerline data layers of varying qualities can be obtained by a number of vendors. The market is relatively competitive, and prices will vary with quality of the data. Relevant vendors that provide this kind of spatial data on a regional and national scale include: NAVTECH ([www.navtech.com](http://www.navtech.com)), GDT ([www.geographic.com](http://www.geographic.com)), and TeleAtlas ([www.teleatlas.com](http://www.teleatlas.com)). Geocoding can also be used to create other data layers that use single addresses, such as fire stations, schools, hospitals, bars, prisons, convenience store/retails centers, etc.

Other spatial data layers can be obtained through the Internet from various government sources. Municipal boundaries, zip code, census tract, and block group boundaries can be obtained in digital format through the U.S. Census Bureau ([www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov)). Floodplains can be obtained through the FEMA Web site ([www.fema.com](http://www.fema.com)).

Land Base and planimetric data are typically generated at the county level. County staff may create this data themselves or contract the project out to a consulting firm. This data often includes tax parcels, zoning districts, land use, parks, open water, street double lines (Right of Way), railroads, and 911 dispatch records.

Regardless of the source of the data, each data layer used for incident analysis and mapping should be consistent with, or be modified to match, the Virginia Base Mapping Project orthophotography, or electronic versions of aerial photos. This is vital for data consistency across the state and facilitates data sharing across jurisdictional boundaries. The digital orthophotography provides an excellent base data layer on which to symbolize incident data and plan tactical operations.

#### 4. Data Conflation Options

Data conflation is a process by which two digital data layers, usually of the same area at different points in time, or two different data layers of the same area, are geographically “corrected” through geometrical and rotational transformations so that the different layers can be overlaid on one another. Also called “rubber-sheeting,” this process allows a technician to adjust the coordinates of all features on a data layer to provide a more accurate match between known locations and a few data points within the base data set. A good base layer to use for data conflation is the VBMP orthophotos since many features can be seen or interpreted. The need and processes for conflation varies between sets of data, users, and feature types. Any dataset that is updated independently by different departments can be consolidated through conflation. Within most local governments, individual departments are responsible for maintaining specific datasets within their expertise; therefore, conflation is not often necessary. Often, reprojecting the data into a different coordinate system will take care of the misalignment of different data sets. Most industry-standard GIS software has the ability to perform data conflation. Commonly conflated

data layers include: parcels, street centerlines, census boundaries, fire and emergency response zones/boundaries, and any layer that was built using either the parcels or street centerlines.

Each data layer used for incident analysis and mapping should use the Virginia Base Mapping Project orthophotography for the conflation process. This is vital for data consistency across the state, and facilitates data sharing across jurisdictional boundaries. It is critical that the street centerlines are accurately placed so that the address information is correct.

## 5. GUI / Programming Options:

There are many options for developers of incident analysis and mapping systems. The following are three approaches:

- Standard GIS desktop application that can be customized to the user's needs
- Existing commercial incident analysis and mapping system
- Hiring a consultant to develop a custom system from scratch.

Using a standard GIS application often requires a significant amount of training and customization. Whereas the initial cost may be low, the time invested in learning these solutions may generally increase the overall expense of implementation. Standard GIS software packages deliver more robust data integration, analysis, and cartographic capabilities than do other incident analysis applications. They have a greater user support infrastructure that allows users to overcome problems quickly. Options for using an existing, industry-standard GIS software application that can be customized for incident analysis include those listed in the following table:

### *Standard GIS Software Vendors:*

Vendor	Software	Add-ons	Web Address
ESRI	ArcView GIS 3x	Spatial and Network Analysis Extension	www.esri.com
ESRI	ArcGIS 8.x		www.esri.com
MapInfo	Professional v7.0		www.mapinfo.com
Intergraph	GeoMedia 5		www.intergraph.com/gis
Autodesk	Map 5.0		www.autodesk.com

There are an increasing number of vendors developing and implementing incident analysis software. These products may often cost more than standard GIS solutions because of the customization that is required to fit the application into the agency's business practices and/or connect to its data source (i.e. server). The advantage is that a tailored emergency management application provides just the functionality that is needed, decreasing the overall application overhead common to industry-standard GIS software. Options for using an existing, commercial incident analysis and mapping system include those listed in the following table:

### *Commercial Software:*

Vendor	Software	Web Address
Omega Group	FireView	www.crimeanalyst.com
Applied Ordnance	MaxResponder	www.maxresponder.com

Technology		
SAIC	CATS	<a href="http://www.saic.com/products/simulation/cats/cats.html">www.saic.com/products/simulation/cats/cats.html</a>

The final option for developing and implementing an incident analysis and mapping system is to contract with a consultant. This option makes certain that a product that will fulfill, with a great deal of precision, an agency's requirements. Unlike the first option, which requires the emergency management agency to modify its own process/technology to fit the system, the system fits existing business practices. A consultant will be able to develop an application that works with the wide range of CAD/RMSs that currently exist within the state. Also, training and follow-up user support is often provided at a much more substantial level than with other options.

An incident mapping application would allow the user to perform general functions like panning and zooming as well as an identify tool. This type of application could also include a standardized entry form to add new incidents to the GIS data layer.

## 6. Internet Functionality and Options:

The Internet has proven itself as a viable solution for emergency management agencies to centralize the maintenance and management of services and data. As more emergency management agencies are implementing Web-based solutions, they are finding that the Internet does require them to change the nature of an application or its usefulness. Using the Internet, software can be easily updated, and users gain greater accessibility to the applications and information they need for their specific tasks through simple, user-friendly interfaces.

An incident analysis and mapping application would best lend itself to an intranet solution, rather than an Internet solution. This way any sensitive information can be maintained within the confines of a local network. However, it may be possible to create a web site that just shows the locations of incidents and does not allow access to the attribute data that describes each incident. GIS software vendors have products that can be customized in-house or by a consultant to provide Web GIS applications on the Internet, over an intranet or via wireless network.

### *GIS Internet Solutions*

Vendor	Internet Software	Web Address
ESRI	ArcIMS	<a href="http://www.esri.com/software/arcims">www.esri.com/software/arcims</a>
MapInfo	MapXtreme	<a href="http://www.mapinfo.com">www.mapinfo.com</a>
Intergraph	GeoMedia WebMap	<a href="http://www.intergraph.com/gis/gmwm">www.intergraph.com/gis/gmwm</a>
Autodesk	MapGuide	<a href="http://www.autodesk.com">www.autodesk.com</a>

## 7. Technical Requirements:

### *Minimum Technical Requirements*

At its most basic level, an incident analysis and mapping system can be used on a single, stand-alone workstation. This workstation would have a hard drive that stores all of the spatial data layers, as well as a database containing a copy of all of the incident records for the emergency management agency. A typical workstation running off-the-shelf software should have the following minimum specifications:

Processor: Pentium 3, 450 MHz  
RAM: 128MB SDRAM at 133MHz  
Hard Disk: 20GB (min.)  
Monitor 1: 19"  
Floppy Drive: 3.5"  
CD-ROM: 12x/8x/32x CD drive  
Modem: 56K  
OS: Windows 2000/NT/XP  
Office: Windows 2000 Professional  
Printer: 8x11 office-grade color printer

*Optimum Technical Requirements:*

A more complex incident analysis and mapping system may require multiple components, including servers, desktop workstations, ruggedized laptops, and/or handheld devices. For either a client-server or a Web-based application, the system should rely on a fairly robust server computer and high-end workstations. Some examples specifications of the necessary equipment are listed below:

**Server**

Processor: Min. 2x Processors, 1.7 GHz, 512K cache  
RAM: Min. 2x 512MB RIMMS  
Hard Disk: Min. 2x 80GB +RAID  
Monitor 1: 19"  
Floppy Drive: 3.5"  
CD-ROM: 12x/8x/32x CD drive  
Modem: 56K  
Network Card: 10/100 mbps

**Workstation**

Processor: Pentium 4, 1.5 GHz  
RAM: 512MB SDRAM at 133MHz  
Hard Disk: 20GB (min.)  
Monitor 1: 19"  
Monitor 2: 17"  
Floppy Drive: 3.5"  
CD-ROM: 12x/8x/32x CD-RW drive  
Modem: 56K  
Network Card: 10/100 mbps  
OS: Windows 2000/NT/XP  
Office: Windows 2000 Professional

**Other Components**

Printer: 8x11 office-grade color printer and 8x11 production b/w printer  
Plotter: HP DesignJet 1055CM  
Tape Backup: Tape Library Server  
UPS: APC 1400 (or other similar)  
Scanner: 11x17  
Handheld: Compaq IPAQ  
Network: T1

## 8. Administrative/Management Requirements

At the beginning of the project the assigned project manager of the local law enforcement and/or emergency management jurisdiction should consider completing some, if not all of the following tasks that relate to the administrative requirements of an incident analysis and mapping project:

- Determine, with or without the assistance of a consultant hired to develop the system, the preliminary vision and goals of the project.
- Determine the stakeholders (e.g. fire departments, local/state/federal emergency management groups, local hospital administration/planning staff) of an incident analysis and mapping project within their own jurisdiction and with larger government entities that they interact with.
- Coordinate an initial stakeholders meeting where the vision and goals of the project are expressed and the background of GIS technology is described, if needed.
- Coordinate with other municipal agencies for data sharing provisions.
- Determine a mechanism of communication to keep the stakeholders aware of the progress of the project.
- Develop a basic understanding of the available precedents in their region/state and research the available technologies that can be applied to their project.

Upon project completion, a simple desktop incident analysis and mapping application will require very little administrative support. Administrative tasks may include loading or upgrading new versions of the software or patches, providing for constant data flow from the CAD/RMS, and maintaining yearly support contracts on the hardware and software. However, once the system becomes distributed, there are various other management requirements that need to be fulfilled on a weekly or monthly basis.

At the point where the system grows beyond single desktop users, a devoted administrator or system manager needs to be established. This is essential for the following reasons:

- The system will now be interfacing with other technology systems already in place. Therefore, someone needs to maintain contact with the technology personnel that maintain these systems.
- The manager needs to put into place quarterly training schedules to maintain user knowledge of the system.
- Funding will undoubtedly be required to either maintain the system long-term, or continue to expand the system, which requires funding research and applications for grants.
- Incident analysis and mapping only succeeds when it is implemented on a weekly basis with rigorous analysis and planning.

## 9. Costs:

Hardware	Typical Unit Cost
Minimum Workstation	\$2,000
Optimum Workstation	\$3,200
Laptop	\$2,400
Web/FTP Server	\$8,500
Database Server	\$12,000
Data Warehouse Server	\$18,000



Backup Server	\$5,800
Printer (8x11 color)	\$700
Printer (8x11 b/w production)	\$2,000
Plotter	\$12,000
Tape Library	\$5,000
UPS	\$700
Scanner	\$1,500
Handheld	\$300-\$700

<b>Software</b> (all prices included license)	<b>Typical Unit Cost</b>
Standard GIS desktop software	\$700-\$10,000
Desktop vendor incident analysis and mapping application	\$2,000-\$6,000
Customized desktop vendor solution	\$5,000-\$15,000
Web-based vendor application	\$15,000-\$25,000
Customized web-based vendor solution	\$20,000-\$60,000

<b>Miscellaneous</b>	<b>Typical Unit Cost</b>
Training – focused vendor incident mapping training (per person)	\$700-\$1,000
Training – general GIS	\$700-\$1,200
Licensing-desktop	\$100-\$500
Licensing-webapp (1st CPU)	\$7,500-\$12,000
Maintenance (per year)	\$8,000-\$15,000

## 10. Standards / Guidelines Summary

- Always maintain a unique identification number with every incident, spatial feature, and event recorded within the system.
- Standardize street naming conventions to make certain of proper geocoding.
- If there are multiple streets with the same name (e.g., Main St.) then standardize additional fields, such as borough name or zip code, that are collected to differentiate the streets.
- Create standard Common Place-name file.

McDonald's	236 Johnson St
Grant Statue	14 <sup>th</sup> St. & Willits St
Central Park	1500 Warrington Rd
The Pit	6550 Templeton Ln
K&A	Kensington Ave & Allegheny Ave

- Standardize Person and Resource Identification Number (at least eight characters).
- Collect zip codes for all incidents. This facilitates cross-jurisdictional information sharing.
- Standardize use of emergency situation type codes and other data statewide building from national standards such as the National Fire Incident Reporting System (NFIRS).
- Standardize date and time conventions.
- Develop a detailed Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) procedure for reviewing the accuracy of the GIS data and its attributes.
- Maintain data in the VBMP standard coordinate system (Virginia State Plane, NAD 83, Survey Feet).
- Create metadata (standard information about GIS data) for each data layer. Metadata tracks the date, origin, coordinate system, and other such information for data layers.



## 11. Startup Procedures/Steps

There should be a minimum of eight steps involved with an incident analysis and mapping project after funding is in place to support the project. The steps can be performed in-house or by a consulting team.

The first task is to complete a detailed Needs Assessment. This process gathers information regarding existing operational procedures, hardware and software, incident data, and personnel needs. It should include interviews of key individuals throughout the law enforcement agency and other related government departments to obtain a comprehensive view of the agency's operations, and where GIS might improve them. Basic GIS concepts should be discussed and illustrated to those interviewees that have little prior understanding of GIS or incident mapping. A comprehensive Needs Assessment should then be compiled from the results of the interviews. This document explains the various requirements for an incident analysis and mapping system in the following areas: personnel needs, spatial data development needs, tabular/incident data development needs, applicable spatial and temporal incident analysis techniques, basic system requirements, including preliminary, general hardware and software recommendations, and training needs.

The second task is to develop a functional requirements document for the proposed system. This document should describe, as completely as possible, all of the technology and functionality that is to be included in the incident analysis and mapping system.

- Hardware specifications
- Software purchases
- Detailed descriptions of work-flow, and examples of the graphic user interfaces
- Describe each tool that is part of that graphic user interface, and its functionality
- Describe how data would flow between the different databases and data warehouses, if applicable
- Describe the redundant security measures that will be put in place to make certain of data integrity and confidentiality, when applicable
- Analytical techniques that the application/system provides the user for queries and analysis
- Describe each of the potential products (reports, maps, charts, summary tables) that the user will be able to generate within the system

The third task should be to compile or develop an emergency management specific spatial data set that can be used by the evolving incident analysis and mapping system. Data can be gathered from a number of online sources, as well as county departments. The data layers gathered and maintained should match at least the minimum list provided in Section 1 of this document. At this point, the method of data collection and attributes collected pertaining to an incident should be studied and modified as needed. This might require changes to the agency's CAD/RMS. If changes are warranted, it will be worthwhile in the long run to compile additional information for analysis.

On completion and acceptance of the functional requirements document and the development of the spatial and attribute data, the system development and test phase can begin. During this time, the application will be customized as it was outlined in the functional requirements phase. The emergency management agency should require periodic reviews of the application at particular milestones, such as 50% and 75% completion. This will make certain that problems with the

application will be recognized early in the development process, and that the emergency management agency remains a part of the development process throughout the project timeline.

When the system is nearing 100% completion, it should be installed and tested in the environment in which it will ultimately be used. This allows the users to test the system alongside the application developers, and determine any system integration problems that might arise. It also gives the developers the opportunity to test the application's functionality in a real-world situation. This testing process should be as comprehensive as possible. Each process detailed within the functional requirements should be tested and evaluated at this point.

User training commences once the application reaches 100% completion and is fully documented. Different levels of tutorials and system documentation should be developed depending on the hierarchy of users. Time should be spent at this stage of the project with each potential user of the system to make certain that the proper education occurs. Training should be done through lessons that use real-life examples of system application. This strategy greatly enhances users' ability to apply the functionality to their jobs.

The next phase of the project should include a document that describes a future plan for wider system development. This document accomplishes two goals. The future plan gives the local government agency ideas on how the system might grow to assist other facets of its business practices. Secondly, it provides the agency with a ready-made grant proposal for applying for potential funding sources.

The final phase of a successful incident analysis and mapping system is ongoing technical support. The emergency management and/or law enforcement agency should always include this contingency within its cost estimates of a project for a minimum of three months after a system has been put into place. No matter how effective an application appears, problems and system changes inevitably impact the functionality of a system.

12. Estimated time line and/or implementation (stand alone) schedule:

Phase	Duration
RFP/Contract process (construction, posting, proposal acceptance, review, award of contract)	4 months - 1 year
Needs Assessment	1 month
Functional Requirements	1-2 months
Data Development	2-3 months
System Development and Testing	2-4 months
Installation and Testing	1 month
User Training	½ month
Plan for Future Development	¼ month
Ongoing Support	3 months

### 13. Best Practice Examples in Virginia

Fairfax County  
Geographic Information Services  
12000 Government Center Parkway, Suite 117  
Fairfax, VA 22035  
(703) 324-2712  
[www.co.fairfax.va.us/ps/es/technology.htm](http://www.co.fairfax.va.us/ps/es/technology.htm)